## The 29th June, 1983

No. ID/AMB/16/83/30548.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Raj Kumar and the management of M/s. R. P. Aggarwal Printers, Ambala City regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958, read with notification No. 5414-3-Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1960 section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Raj Kumar was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/AMB/15/83/30554.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ram Nath Soni and the management of M/s. R. P. Aggarwal Printers, Ambala City, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958, read with notification No. 5414-3-Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1960 section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Ram Nath Soni was justified and in order?

If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/AMB/14-83/30560.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that on industrial dispute exists between the workman Inderjit and the management of M/s R. P. Aggarwal Printers, Ambala City regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudic stion;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Paridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1960 section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Inderjit was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. IDAMB/83/30566.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Rajinder Kumar and the management of M/s R. P. Aggarwal Printers, Ambala City regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245 dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3-Lab-68/15254 dated 20th June, 1960 section 7 of of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Rajinder Kumar was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?